



BARNET SAFER COMMUNITIES PARTNERSHIP BOARD
Friday 19th July 2013, Hendon Town Hall,
2.30 – 4.30pm
AGENDA

AGENDA ITEM no	TITLE	PAGES	LEAD PRESENTER	APPROX. TIMING
1	Welcome & Introductions		Chairman	10 mins
2	Previous Minutes – 19th April 2013	1 – 6	Chairman	
3	Matters Arising		Chairman	
4	Localised Policing – What it means for Barnet?		Borough Commander	20 mins
5	MOPAC Update/CSEG changes		Hamera Asfa Davey/Dove Gerber	10 mins
6	i) DPPO/Cricklewood Dispersal Zone - Enforcement Update ii) North London Romanian Centre	7 - 8	Borough Commander Chief Inspector Andy Mariner	10 mins
7	Managing Unauthorised Encampments & Occupations - Update		Pam Wharfe	10 mins
8	Gangs Update		Borough Commander	10 mins
9	Partnership Performance Highlight Report – Draft Action Plan & Enhancements Update 2013/14 i) Property crime ii) Anti-social behaviour iii) Violent crime (DV) iv) Integrated Offender Management v) Early intervention vi) Places where offences take place vii) Repeat victimisation viii) Reassurance and Confidence	9 - 64	All	20 mins
10	Communications Plan		Chris Palmer	15 mins

11	Emerging Issues		Dr. Simon Harding	10 mins
12	Any Other Business		Chairman	5 mins
Date of Next Meeting: 25th October 2013				

MINUTES

Board Members Present:

Cllr David Longstaff	Cabinet Member for Safety and Resident Engagement (Chairman)
Adrian Usher	Barnet Borough Commander, Met Police
Douglas Charlton	Barnet/Enfield ACO London Probation
Andy Mariner	Chief inspector, Met Police
Douglas Charlton	London Probation
Mark Brown	London Fire Brigade Barnet Commander (Deputy)
Dr Simon Harding	Middlesex University, Department of Criminology

Also present:

Pam Wharfe	Interim Director for Environment, Planning & Regeneration, LBB
Paul Hammond	Barnet Borough Watch/Community Safety Engagement Group
Denise Thiruchelvam	Public Health, Barnet and Harrow
Laura Waller	Public Health, Barnet and Harrow
Steve Murrant	Community Protection Group
Michael Kelly	Acting Community Protection Manager, LBB
Richard Bell	Community Protection, LBB
Julie Pal	Community Barnet
Stuart Collins	Barnet Children's Service, LBB
Stav Yiannou	Barnet Children's Service, LBB
Andrew Nathan	Head of Governance, LBB
James Mass	Family & Community Well-being Lead Commissioner, LBB
Dov Gerber	Chair Barnet CSEG
Annette Dhillon	Victim Support, LBB

1 Welcome & Introductions

The Chairman welcomed the Board and officials present and Dr Simon Harding introduced himself as from Middlesex University, Department of Criminology.

2 Apologies for Absence

Terry Cameron (Barnet & Finchley Jobcentre Plus), Tim Harris (North West London Magistrates), Mathew Kendall (Assistant Director, Adults and Communities, LBB) and Michael Taylor (Mayor's Office for Policing and Crime DNA), Tim Beach (Children's Safeguarding Board Chairman), Steve Leader (London Borough of Barnet Fire Service Commander)

3 Minutes of 15 January 2013

The minutes of the meeting held on 15 January 2013 were agreed as a correct record.

4 Matters Arising

None

5 Board Membership Proposition – Dr Simon Harding

The Chairman asked all attendees for their approval of Dr Simon Harding becoming a Board Member. **ACTION: All Board Members agreed**

6 Partnership Performance –Action Plan Update and End of Year Summary

6.1 Property crime

Adrian Usher reported that overall there had been a 1% overall reduction in burglary during 12/13.

Adrian advised that micro beat from predictive analysis mapping shows a 6% reduction could be achievable for residential burglaries and advised that as it was a community problem, it required a community approach.

He proposed that the SCPB had a sub group which met on a monthly basis and reported back to SCPB on strategies for tackling issues such as property crime/burglaries and other issues. Adrian recommended that in order to apply an independent academic approach, the sub committee group should not be chaired by the police members of this group.

Dr Simon Harding explained that previously he had worked on these issues before and volunteered to chair the new sub committee group.

There was uncertainty across the Board whether a group similar to this already existed.

ACTION: James Mass agreed to find out who chairs the Police Property Crime & Burglary Group.

6.2 Anti Social Behaviour (ASB)

A 10% rise in litter was reported compared to the Residents Survey. Pam Wharfe advised that grounds maintenance staff will be working together with street cleaners to take on more ownership. She also advised that Lynn Bishop, Street Scene Director, will attend a future Safer Communities Partnership Board meeting to update.

Andy Mariner highlighted that there had been 32 new police recruits to the MET following the restructure and this is an increase of 60 – 70%. He explained that the efforts will be concentrated on gangs.

Adrian Usher reported a new initiative of diverting and engagement for offenders ages 14 – 22. He explained that a letter will be written to the offender to invite them to discuss the issues with the involvement of a health professional, and this is the engaging aspect; if the individual did not comply then strong enforcement would be used.

6.3 Violent Crime (DV)

Adrian Usher explained that Domestic Violence crimes in the borough increased by 12%. However, there has been a decrease in the number of repeat victims due to the success of the Victim Care Package.

6.4 Improving Integrated Offender Management (IOM)

Michael Kelly reported that this year was the implementation stage IOM. Michael explained the current progress of the Cohort expansion and that the aim was to increase the caseload over the course of the next year and work together with partner agencies to identify the client groups who would benefit most from the IOM

approach. He explained that the Case Management System would be piloted for a year and that Support Pathways continue to be further developed with partner services and the team are also looking to improve links to cover the transition from Custody to Community settings. The use of GPS tracking for some offenders has also been implemented.

Michael highlighted that Barnet was ranked 1st among all London boroughs with the lowest rate for adult reoffending.

Adrian Usher raised a concern of the resources required from other partners like the Met police to support the increase of the Cohort expansion. Michael said that the increase would be gradual and that decisions to take on additional clients would be taken in conjunction with all partners and in line with available resource.

In a response to a question from Pam Wharfe, it was agreed a housing officer involvement from Barnet Homes would be beneficial.

Action: Michael Kelly to amend the Key Performance Indicator's for Drugs & Alcohol Pathways and discuss with Denise Thiruchelvam.

6.5 Early intervention

Stuart Collins reported that over 400 families are being supported by the Intensive Family Intervention scheme. He agreed to circulate the 'Early Help – Family Support and Early Intervention' with the Minutes.

James Mass announced that all recommendations for Early Intervention and Prevention contracts were accepted at CRC on 18th April.

Mark Brown would like to attend to represent the wider team from LFB.

Action: Stuart Collins to present financial and PBR outcomes at the next meeting.

Stuart Collins to invite Mark Brown to appropriate meetings.

6.6 Places where offences take place

Adrian Usher explained that Andy Mariner would be supporting the "Go nowhere twice" model for policing to tackle issues relating to repeated incidents of street crime.

6.7 Repeat victimisation

Adrian Usher outlined the proposal of the 20-20-20 challenge of MOPAC, i.e. to cut crime in seven key areas, boost public confidence and cut costs, all by 20% over the next four years. He encouraged the Board to look at what counted towards reduction and what didn't. He noted that a 20% increase in satisfaction was achievable more locally. He highlighted that among the London Boroughs Barnet victim satisfaction was now ranked 3rd instead of 25th.

6.8 Reassurance & Confidence

It was noted that the 12% result of residents who disagreed that Barnet Police and Barnet Council are dealing with antisocial behaviour and crime in their local area was incorrect.

ACTION: Michael Kelly to amend the 10/11 and 12/13 results.

Julie Pal queried the decline in resident's satisfaction to people not treating each other fairly and with respect and consideration and asked what may be the reason for this. The Board agreed that currently there is no data comparison with other boroughs available.

ACTION: Julie Pal to investigate further.

7 Mayor's Office for Policing and Crime (MOPAC) – Police & Crime Action Plan 2013-16 & Policing and Public Access in London

James Mass updated the Board on the success of the nine proposals that were submitted to CRC and highlighted that 7 of the proposals were accepted.

8 Mayor's Office for Policing and Crime (MOPAC) – Barnet Funding Decision

James Mass updated the Board on the business cases that were approved by MOPAC for 3 of the 4 initiatives that received funding. James advised that the next step is to identify leads for the four projects and update at next meeting.

ACTION: James Mass

A member of the Board questioned whether the partnership could fund Community Coaches. James to discuss and update the Board.

ACTION: James Mass

9 Community Safety Enhancements

James Mass reported that this was now scheduled for the Council's Cabinet Resources Committee on June 18th. However the Business Case for CCTV had been agreed by CRC on the 18th April.

10 Draft Violence Against Women & Girls Strategy 2013-16

Stav Yiannou proposed to the Board to amend the strategy title "to be referred to here after as "Violence Against Women & Girls Strategy 2013-16, (VAWG) as it covered a range of initiatives.

Stav advised that the strategy will be rewritten and VAWG embedded into the new strategy. Stav highlighted that SOLACE would continue to deliver the service until March 2014 and this would be an opportunity to look at the gaps and re-commission and bring in line.

Andrew Nathan referred to pages 7 of 9 of the Draft VAWG Strategy 2013-16 and advised that some of the information was out of date and requires updating. Andrew agreed to assist Stav with the amendments:

Action: Stav Yiannou/Andrew Nathan

In a response to a question from Dr Simon Harding, if Honour violence was addressed in the draft strategy. Stav advised that this had been included.

Stav Yiannou agreed to update on progress against the Action Plan and feedback to the SCPB.

ACTION: Stav Yiannou

11 National Community Safety Conference 17th/18th September 2013

Dr Simon Harding outlined the plans for the conference in September to be held at the Middlesex University. Dr Harding explained that the conference would be attended by several hundred members nationwide and this would be a good opportunity for the SCPB to network and be in the spotlight. Dr Harding

recommended a SCPB Stand on the day and advised the Board to use this event to announce any new policies.

Mark Brown requested that the LFB be invited to represent at the conference. Dr Harding agreed to ensure that the LFB are invited to the event.

Dr Harding agreed to keep the Board updated on the plans for the event.

Action: Dr Simon Harding.

James Mass and Stephen Evans to meet and discuss how Barnet could benefit from the event.

Action: James Mass

12 Any Other Business

Michael Kelly advised that if there were any queries in relation to the Magistrates community initiatives to contact Tim Harris.

ACTION: All Board members

In response to a question from the Board, Michael Kelly confirmed that this group was represented at other court group meetings.

Mark Brown updated the Board on the London Safety Plan and highlighted that the changes will save about £28 million while maintaining response times. Mark advised 12 LFB stations were closing, but none in Barnet, and reported that the borough would benefit from an additional fire engine at Hendon.

Mark also highlighted that due to Barnet's location; LBB will receive high level support from the surrounding boroughs.

13 Date of Next Meeting – Friday 19TH July at 2.30pm

This page is intentionally left blank

Briefing for the SCPB on the new Safer Neighbourhood Boards
which will replace CPEG's across London AGENDA ITEM 6a

Communication to date:

On the 16 April 2013 the Deputy Mayor for Policing And Crime's Adviser for Neighbourhoods – Steve O'Connell - wrote to all portfolio holders (copied to Chief Executive officers) setting out MOPACs plans regarding SNBs and they were invited to have a meeting with him to discuss local thoughts, views and plans in more detail.

On the 17 April 2013 all Community and Police Engagement Groups were invited to attend a meeting at City Hall where Steve O'Connell, spoke to them about MOPACs plans and expectations for the future. MOPAC officers will continue to provide advice and guidance.

The development of the SN Board model in Barnet:

- A consistent one-size model for SN Boards will not meet the varying needs of each of London's diverse boroughs. MOPAC will therefore not prescribe how every borough should set up its Board. MOPAC is anticipating that all SN Boards will be in place by April 2014
- All boroughs have been asked to develop their plans and then forward them to MOPAC for discussion and sign off. This is something that MOPAC encourages Barnet CSEG to do with the relevant partners
- The development of SNBs is being led in different boroughs by different partners (CPEG/MPS/community safety team). This is in line with the localism approach and very much dependent on a range of factors, e.g. the strength of the local CPEG and of the local partnership. Regardless of who is leading the development work, partners are expected to consult and discuss development with other stakeholders in the borough and MOPAC will expect to see consensus in their plans.
- Safer Neighbourhood Boards will sit within the wider engagement landscape at borough level. They will form the apex of a pyramid-type structure, which builds on ward level engagement through Safer Neighbourhood Ward Panels, to inspector-led Neighbourhood Cluster Panels and then to the borough-wide SNBs.

A Safer Neighbourhood Board is to be created in every London Borough with 5 key aims:

Key Aim 1 – to have a broad remit to reflect MOPAC’s broader responsibilities, but respects the view that local people will know best what is needed at the local level;

Key Aim 2 – to ensure communities are more closely involved in problem solving and crime prevention;

Key Aim 3 – to have greater reach and ensures a more frequent refresh of ideas and views;

Key Aim 4 – to achieve greater coherence between different engagement mechanisms, e.g. Independent Advisory Groups and Stop and Search Community Monitoring Groups, so as to provide greater public accountability in policing and crime reduction;

Key Aim 5 – to make more efficient use of resources to deliver value for money and target funds at tackling issues of local concern and crime prevention

Author: Dov Gerber (Chair, Barnet CSEG)

Headline Report

Anti Social Behaviour

Tri Borough Dispersal zone established in Cricklewood on 27.5.2013 to date 152+ dispersed.
26.6.2013 Hendon Football club 68 Romanian rough sleepers ejected, 18 persons returned home on the day, 32 took up offer on 3.7.2013

Burglary

Main locations in last 2 weeks NW7, NW4, NW11, NW2 and EN5
Peak Days - Tuesday, Saturday and Sunday.
Peak times – 12 noon, 3pm – 4pm, 6pm

Robbery

Slight increase past 2 weeks compared to same period last year.
Offences increases in north of borough with A1000 as main area of activity.

This page is intentionally left blank

Safer Communities Partnership Board 19th July 2013 (Quarter 1)

- Priority 1. Property crime with special focus on burglary
- Priority 2. Anti-social behaviour
- Priority 3. Violent crime with a specific focus on domestic violence
- Priority 4. Barnet Integrated Offender Management Unit
- Priority 5. Broader cost - effective intervention
- Priority 6. Focus on places where offences take place
- Priority 7. Tackle repeat victimisation
- Priority 8. Building reassurance and confidence

Author Richard Bell Crime and Disorder Information Manager Adults and Communities Directorate

Executive Summary

Residential Burglary falling

Over the last 12 months there has been 3.8% fewer residential burglaries compared to the previous year. During the last three months burglary has fallen even further (25% reduction April to 26 June), and around 50% reduction in each of the last 4 weeks compared to those weeks last year. This has been achieved by a number of arrests being made in relation to of Barnet's burglary nominal targets. **Barnet has the 4th largest volume reduction in burglary in London in this period**

Non-residential burglary up

There were significant spikes between March to late May, resulting in a **14.4% increase** FYTD up to 23 June. Barnet has the 6th largest volume increase in non-residential burglary in London in this period. However, during June non-res burglary decreased and three of the most recent four weeks had fewer burglaries than last year – it remains to be seen if the increase is short term or sustainable. The non res burglary is mainly concentrated in the town centres (especially Hendon and North Finchley) overnight.

Fewer robbery victims

There has been a 32.3% decrease in robbery between April to 23 June, this means **83 fewer victims of robbery** on Barnet Borough than last year. 11th largest volume reduction in robbery in London. 4th highest Sanctioned detection rate in London

Increase in rate of reported DV

There has been a 23.6% increase in Domestic Violence with Injury reports between April to 26 June 2013 (overall total violence with injury has increased by 5.1%)

ASB – High volume, low rate per 1000 population

Barnet has the 12th highest number of ASB calls in London, but taking into account the size of the population, Barnet has the 10th lowest rate of ASB calls per 1000 population out of London's 32 boroughs.

Barnet's Headline Performance Quarter 1 2013

Headline Performance	Target reduction %	Actual reduction / increase %
Reduction of Total Crime (TNO)		-4.7%
Reduction of Burglary	- 6.5%	-1.9%
Reduction of Robbery	- 5%	-11.4%
Violent Crime (Domestic Violence)	- 5.2% (in relation to violence with injury)	+ 23.6%
Reduction of ASB repeat callers	- 10%	-10%

Headline Barnet's Crime Performance Qrt 1 2013


MOPAC Crime	FY 11/12	Offences Current R12	% Chg	Headline	Target reduction	Actual reduction/increase							
MOPAC 7	15,261	13,408	-12.1 %										
Burglary	4,912	4,670	-4.9 %		-4.7%								
Criminal Damage	2,361	1,933	-18.1 %										
Robbery	1,199	996	-16.9 %										
Theft From MV	3,602	2,956	-17.9 %		-6.5%	-1.9%							
Theft/Taking Of MV	956	672	-29.7 %										
Theft From Person	692	731	+5.6 %										
Violence With Injury	1,539	1,450	-5.8 %		-5%	-11.4%							
Increase Confidence	Target	Q4 11	Q4 12										
Police in my local area do a good or excellent job	66%	66%	68%		-5%	-16.4%							
Reduce Cost													
Delivery of the agreed 2013/14 Budget													
Crime Type	Target	Previous R12	Current R12	% Chg	Weekly Excpets	Trends	Target	Previous R12	Current R12	SD Rate	Previous R12	Current R12	Primary SD Rate
TNO		25,814	24,590	-4.7 %									
Burglary	-6.5%	4,760	4,670	-1.9 %	↓	●	18%	7.8%	12.5%	18.7%	15.0%	16.2%	15.0%
Burglary In a Dwelling		3,382	3,254	-3.8 %	↓	●		8.5%	14.4%		4.0%	4.9%	4.0%
Burglary In Other Buildings		1,378	1,416	+2.8 %	●	●		6.0%	8.6%		4.1%	4.7%	4.1%
Criminal Damage	-1.5%	2,205	1,933	-12.3 %	●	●	15%	10.4%	11.1%	11.1%	10.4%	14.4%	10.4%
Robbery	-5.0%	1,124	996	-11.4 %	●	●	18%	14.8%	18.4%	18.4%	11.8%	15.4%	11.8%
Personal Robbery		1,040	915	-12.0 %	●	●		14.2%	18.0%		11.4%	14.9%	11.4%
Business Robbery		84	81	-3.6 %	●	●		21.4%	22.2%		16.7%	21.0%	16.7%
<i>Robbery of mobile phone*</i>		500	404	-19.2 %	●	●		20%	14.4%		-	-	-
Theft Of/From MV		4,432	3,628	-18.1 %	●	●	10%	5.5%	7.4%	7.4%	1.8%	2.0%	1.8%
Theft From MV	-5.0%	3,534	2,956	-16.4 %	●	●		5.7%	7.8%		1.2%	0.9%	1.2%
Theft/Taking Of MV	0.0%	898	672	-25.2 %	●	●		4.6%	6.7%		4.5%	6.4%	4.5%
Theft From Person	-9.0%	709	731	+3.1 %	●	●	5%	3.5%	2.4%	2.4%	3.1%	2.6%	3.1%
<i>Theft of mobile phone*</i>		405	407	+0.5 %	●	●		5%	3.0%		-	-	-
Violence With Injury	-5.2%	1,481	1,450	-2.1 %	●	●	40%	36.3%	31.5%	31.5%	36.3%	31.6%	36.3%
Non-DV VWI		1,027	911	-11.3 %	●	●		28.0%	23.8%		28.0%	23.8%	28.0%
DV VWI		454	539	+18.7 %	●	●		54.8%	52.1%		54.8%	51.9%	54.8%
Domestic Violence		1,167	1,419	+21.6 %	●	●	55%	48.3%	48.0%	48.0%	48.3%	48.0%	48.3%
CCC Dispatch		Previous R12	Current R12	% Chg									
I Calls within 15 mins		84.3%	88.8%	+4.5% pt									
S Calls within 60 mins		85.9%	91.7%	+5.8% pt									
Satisfaction		Q4 11	Q4 12	% Chg									
Overall Satisfaction		73.0%	78.0%	+5.0% pt									
Burglary		80.0%	83.0%	+3.0% pt									
Motor Vehicle Crime		65.0%	78.0%	+13.0% pt									
Violent Crime		75.0%	74.0%	-1.0% pt									

Key

- Performance is at or above target
- Performance is below target but is at least half the improvement required to meet the target compared to the previous reporting period
- Performance is below target and less than half the improvement required to meet the target
- Green is improving performance
- Red is worsening performance

Priority 1 Property crime with special focus on residential burglary

Weekly Residential Burglary Performance - Barnet



FYTD Totals are calculated from 1-April

	14 Apr	21 Apr	28 Apr	5 May	12 May	19 May	26 May	2 Jun	9 Jun	16 Jun	23 Jun	FYTD Total
Offences												
Last Year	62	56	49	51	55	42	48	61	71	76	77	700
This Year	51	44	47	36	45	40	56	35	33	43	31	519
% Change	-17.7%	-21.4%	-4.1%	-29.4%	-18.2%	-4.8%	16.7%	-42.6%	-53.5%	-43.4%	-59.7%	-25.9%

Year	Number of residential burglaries
2010/11	3362
2011/12	3397
2012/13	3386
Current FYTD (2013/14 up to 30/06/2013) and Percentage change vs. that period last year	519 (25.9% reduction %)

Headline Comparative Burglary Residential Performance

1st April – 19th June 2013




Executive Summary

MPS Headlines



Offences: Decrease of 10.3% from 11934 to 10701

Sanction Detections: Increase of 1.6% from 1367 to 1389

Sanction Detection Rate: 13.0% compared with 11.5% for the same period last year



Barnet has the 4th largest volume reduction in burglary in London in this period




Data is subject to daily change
 Last Refresh Date: 19/06/2013

All figures relate to Residential Burglary and comparisons are with the same dates last year (1 April to 16 June)
 Performance Helpdesk - 783131
 if there are any queries with this report, please call the Helpdesk
 PD0771314/11M1

19/06/2013 ©MOPAC (2013)
 Page 3

Priority 1 Property crime with special focus on non res burglary

Weekly Non-Residential Burglary Performance - Barnet



▲ Offences Last Year ◆ Offences This Year

FYTD Totals are calculated from 1 April

Offences	14 Apr	21 Apr	28 Apr	5 May	12 May	19 May	26 May	2 Jun	9 Jun	16 Jun	23 Jun	FYTD Total
Last Year	25	13	23	25	25	24	41	25	40	32	29	319
This Year	32	33	32	23	29	42	42	32	20	27	25	365
% Change	28.0%	153.8%	39.1%	-8.0%	16.0%	75.0%	2.4%	28.0%	-50.0%	-15.6%	-13.8%	14.4%

Headline Comparative Burglary Non Residential Performance 1st April – 26th June



Executive Summary

MPS Headlines


Offences Decrease of 3.8% from 7755 to 7463

Sanction Detections Increase of 28.7% from 677 to 871

Sanction Detection Rate 11.7% compared with 8.7% for the same period last year




Barnet has the 6th largest volume increase in non-residential burglary in London in this period



Priority 1 Property crime - Robbery

Weekly Robbery Performance - Barnet



FYTD Totals are calculated from 1 April

Offences	14 Apr	21 Apr	28 Apr	5 May	12 May	19 May	26 May	2 Jun	9 Jun	16 Jun	23 Jun	FYTD Total
Last Year	31	34	25	12	30	29	9	21	15	12	17	257
This Year	14	14	6	9	16	16	16	13	8	23	17	174
% Change	-54.8%	-58.8%	-76.0%	-25.0%	-46.7%	-44.8%	77.8%	-38.1%	-46.7%	91.7%	0.0%	-32.3%

Headline Comparative Robbery Performance 1st April – 26th June



Executive Summary

MPS Headlines


Offences Decrease of 21.8% from 8511 to 6654

Sanction Detections Decrease of 17.4% from 1261 to 1041

Sanction Detection Rate 15.6% compared with 14.8% for the same period last year



Barnet 11th largest volume reduction in robbery in London



Barnet 4th highest Sanctioned detection rate in London

Priority 2 Anti-social behaviour

Target – percent very / somewhat worried about	Baseline 2010/11 (Public Attitude Survey)	Public Attitude Survey 12/13 (Q4)	Residents Survey 10/11	Residents Survey 12/13
ASB	24%	25%		
Teenagers hanging around on the streets	21%	13%	27%	25%
Rubbish / litter	21%	15%	30%	34%
Vandalism, graffiti and other deliberate damage	19%	10%	24%	23%
Drunk / rowdy in public place	9%	7%	19%	19%
Noisy neighbours or loud parties	10%	7%		
Local drug use / dealing	13%	11%		
Abandoned or burnt out cars	5%	3%		
Gangs	9%	2%		

Priority 2 Anti-social behaviour

- Barnet has the 12th highest number of ASB calls in London, but per 1000 population this equates to the 10th lowest rate

OCU	Year to Date ASB Incidents	Year to Date ASB Incidents per 1000 population	Year to Date PAS - High Levels of ASB
Barking & Dagenham	632	3.40	26 %
Barnet	978	2.76	4 %
Bexley	565	2.45	6 %
Brent	1,001	3.22	12 %
Bromley	753	2.45	8 %
Camden	1,025	4.68	15 %
Croydon	1,262	3.48	7 %
Ealing	1,098	3.25	18 %
Enfield	1,002	3.21	14 %
Greenwich	863	3.40	13 %
Hackney	1,007	4.08	20 %
Hammersmith & Fulham	646	3.55	13 %
Haringey	874	3.43	14 %
Harrow	599	2.51	8 %
Havering	579	2.45	10 %
Hillingdon	887	3.24	19 %
Hounslow	853	3.36	20 %
Islington	949	4.62	18 %
Kensington & Chelsea	575	3.65	11 %
Kingston upon Thames	403	2.53	8 %
Lambeth	1,385	4.56	14 %
Lewisham	1,036	3.76	16 %
Merton	468	2.35	5 %
Newham	1,304	4.21	27 %
Redbridge	873	3.12	14 %
Richmond upon Thames	430	2.31	5 %
Southwark	1,110	3.86	14 %
Sutton	515	2.71	6 %
Tower Hamlets	1,603	6.27	29 %
Waltham Forest	946	3.66	13 %
Wandsworth	844	2.75	10 %
Westminster	1,567	7.17	13 %
MPS	28,632	3.51	13 %

Priority 2 Anti-social behaviour

Public Attitude Survey


To what extent are you worried about ASB in the area?

Base: all respondents, excluding 'don't know'

% worried (very/fairly)	Barnet		MPS
	%	Base	
Q1-Q4 (Apr11-Mar12)	20%	392	26%
Q2-Q1 (Jul11-Jun12)	21%	394	26%
Q3-Q2 (Oct11-Sep12)	22%	399	26%
Q4-Q3 (Jan12-Dec12)	24%	397	27%
Q1-Q4 (Apr12-Mar13)	25%	397	26%
FY 08/09	41%	600	39%
FY 09/10	22%	590	25%
FY 10/11	24%	624	25%
FY 11/12	20%	392	26%
FY 12/13	25%	397	26%

Difference from previous quarter [Borough]: +1%

Difference from same quarter in 2011/12 [Borough]: +5%



Public Attitude Survey


Q10B: How much of a problem in the area are teenagers hanging around on the streets? Base: all respondents, excluding 'don't know'

Difference from previous quarter [Borough]: ±0%

Difference from same quarter in 2011/12 [Borough]: -4%

% problem (very/fairly big)	Barnet		MPS	
	%	Base		Confidence interval
Q1-Q4 (Apr11-Mar12)	17%	397	±3.73%	22%
Q2-Q1 (Jul11-Jun12)	16%	397	±3.57%	23%
Q3-Q2 (Oct11-Sep12)	13%	399	±3.33%	23%
Q4-Q3 (Jan12-Dec12)	13%	399	±3.25%	24%
Q1-Q4 (Apr12-Mar13)	13%	400	±3.32%	24%
FY 08/09	27%	634	±3.45%	41%
FY 09/10	10%	627	±2.32%	29%
FY 10/11	21%	636	±3.14%	26%
FY 11/12	17%	397	±3.73%	22%
FY 12/13	13%	400	±3.32%	24%


Barnet



Q10C: How much of a problem in the area is rubbish or litter lying around?

Base: all respondents, excluding 'don't know'

% problem (very/fairly big)	Difference from previous quarter [Borough]: ±0%		Difference from same quarter in 2011/12 [Borough]: -4%	
	%	Base	Confidence interval	MPS
Q1-Q4 (Apr11-Mar12)	19%	399	±3.81%	26%
Q2-Q1 (Jul11-Jun12)	15%	399	±3.53%	26%
Q3-Q2 (Oct11-Sep12)	16%	400	±3.55%	27%
Q4-Q3 (Jan12-Dec12)	15%	400	±3.52%	28%
Q1-Q4 (Apr12-Mar13)	15%	400	±3.48%	28%
FY 08/09	7%	635	±1.93%	28%
FY 09/10	2%	629	±1.01%	24%
FY 10/11	21%	639	±3.18%	24%
FY 11/12	19%	399	±3.81%	26%
FY 12/13	15%	400	±3.48%	28%




Q10D: How much of a problem in the area is vandalism, graffiti and other deliberate damage to property of vehicles? Base: all respondents, excluding 'don't know'

Difference from previous quarter [Borough]: +2%

Difference from same quarter in 2011/12 [Borough]: +2%


% problem (very/fairly big)	Barnet		MPS
	%	Base	
Q1-Q4 (Apr11-Mar12)	8%	396	±2.68%
Q2-Q1 (Jul11-Jun12)	7%	396	±2.57%
Q3-Q2 (Oct11-Sep12)	8%	398	±2.63%
Q4-Q3 (Jan12-Dec12)	8%	395	±2.73%
Q1-Q4 (Apr12-Mar13)	10%	394	±2.95%
FY 09/09	16%	615	±2.87%
FY 09/10	2%	589	±0.99%
FY 10/11	19%	627	±3.08%
FY 11/12	8%	396	±2.68%
FY 12/13	10%	394	±2.95%



Public Attitude Survey


Q10F: How much of a problem in the area are people being drunk or rowdy in public places?
Base: all respondents, excluding 'don't know'

% problem (very/fairly big)	Difference from previous quarter [Borough]: ±0%		Difference from same quarter in 2011/12 [Borough]: -4%	
	%	Base	Confidence interval	MPS
Q1-Q4 (Apr11-Mar12)	11%	396	±3.06%	17%
Q2-Q1 (Jul11-Jun12)	8%	397	±2.72%	18%
Q3-Q2 (Oct11-Sep12)	7%	399	±2.55%	18%
Q4-Q3 (Jan12-Dec12)	7%	399	±2.51%	19%
Q1-Q4 (Apr12-Mar13)	7%	397	±2.43%	19%
FY 08/09	8%	571	±2.28%	30%
FY 09/10	4%	566	±1.55%	20%
FY 10/11	9%	616	±2.26%	15%
FY 11/12	11%	396	±3.06%	17%
FY 12/13	7%	397	±2.43%	19%



Q10A: How much of a problem in the area are noisy neighbours or loud parties? Base: all respondents, excluding 'don't know'

% problem (very/fairly big)	Difference from previous quarter [Borough]: ±0%		Barnet		Difference from same quarter in 2011/12 [Borough]: -1%	
	%	Base	Base	Confidence interval	%	MPS
Q1-Q4 (Apr11-Mar12)	8%	400	400	±2.7%	11%	
Q2-Q1 (Jul11-Jun12)	8%	400	400	±2.7%	12%	
Q3-Q2 (Oct11-Sep12)	8%	400	400	±2.62%	12%	
Q4-Q3 (Jan12-Dec12)	7%	400	400	±2.46%	13%	
Q1-Q4 (Apr12-Mar13)	7%	400	400	±2.54%	13%	
FY 08/09	2%	633	633	±1.15%	16%	
FY 09/10	2%	634	634	±1.16%	12%	
FY 10/11	10%	638	638	±2.37%	13%	
FY 11/12	8%	400	400	±2.7%	11%	
FY 12/13	7%	400	400	±2.54%	13%	




Promoting public safety: Proxy SPI10c Perceptions of local drug use and dealing Q10E: How much of a problem in the area are people using or dealing drugs? Base: all respondents, excluding 'don't know'

Difference from previous quarter [Borough]: +1%

Difference from same quarter in 2011/12 [Borough]: +1%

% problem (very/fairly big)	Barnet		MPS	
	%	Base		Confidence interval
Q1-Q4 (Apr11-Mar12)	10%	387	±3.03%	17%
Q2-Q1 (Jul11-Jun12)	10%	390	±2.94%	19%
Q3-Q2 (Oct11-Sep12)	10%	393	±2.96%	20%
Q4-Q3 (Jan12-Dec12)	10%	388	±2.96%	21%
Q1-Q4 (Apr12-Mar13)	11%	385	±3.08%	22%
FY 08/09	26%	389	±4.36%	36%
FY 09/10	6%	468	±2.16%	20%
FY 10/11	13%	542	±2.81%	19%
FY 11/12	10%	387	±3.03%	17%
FY 12/13	11%	385	±3.08%	22%




Q10G: How much of a problem in the area are abandoned or burnt-out cars? Base: all respondents, excluding 'don't know'

Difference from previous quarter [Borough]: ±0%

Difference from same quarter in 2011/12 [Borough]: -1%


% problem (very/fairly big)	Barnet		MPS	
	%	Base		Confidence interval
Q1-Q4 (Apr11-Mar12)	4%	395	±1.89%	4%
Q2-Q1 (Jul11-Jun12)	4%	395	±1.89%	5%
Q3-Q2 (Oct11-Sep12)	4%	399	±1.87%	5%
Q4-Q3 (Jan12-Dec12)	3%	399	±1.74%	5%
Q1-Q4 (Apr12-Mar13)	3%	396	±1.69%	5%
FY 08/09	0%	568	±0.35%	10%
FY 09/10	0%	559	±0.37%	5%
FY 10/11	5%	619	±1.71%	5%
FY 11/12	4%	395	±1.89%	4%
FY 12/13	3%	396	±1.69%	5%



NQ43: To what extent do you think that gangs are a problem in the area?


Base: all respondents, excluding 'don't know'

% problem (major/minor)	Difference from previous quarter [Borough]: ±0%		Barnet		Difference from same quarter in 2011/12 [Borough]: -5%	
	%	Base	Base	Confidence interval	MPS	%
Q1-Q4 (Apr11-Mar12)	7%	388	388	±2.49%	17%	17%
Q2-Q1 (Jul11-Jun12)	6%	388	388	±2.35%	18%	18%
Q3-Q2 (Oct11-Sep12)	3%	389	389	±1.65%	18%	18%
Q4-Q3 (Jan12-Dec12)	2%	386	386	±1.51%	17%	17%
Q1-Q4 (Apr12-Mar13)	2%	380	380	±1.44%	16%	16%
FY 08/09	20%	154	154	±6.27%	38%	38%
FY 09/10	2%	397	397	±1.28%	21%	21%
FY 10/11	9%	521	521	±2.47%	12%	12%
FY 11/12	7%	388	388	±2.49%	17%	17%
FY 12/13	2%	380	380	±1.44%	16%	16%



Priority 3 Violent crime

Weekly Violence With Injury Performance - Barnet




FYTD Totals are calculated from 1 April

Offences	14 Apr	21 Apr	28 Apr	5 May	12 May	19 May	26 May	2 Jun	9 Jun	16 Jun	23 Jun	FYTD Total
Last Year	26	28	34	31	28	26	39	44	23	25	29	356
This Year	27	38	29	28	40	41	29	21	27	37	33	374
% Change	3.8%	35.7%	-14.7%	-9.7%	42.9%	57.7%	-25.6%	-52.3%	17.4%	48.0%	13.8%	5.1%

Priority 3 Violent crime with a specific focus on domestic violence

Weekly Domestic Violence VWI Performance - Barnet



Date	Volume	Change vs. prev year
2011/12	442	
2012/13	512	+15.8%
2013/14 (FYTD)	131	+23.6%

FYTD Totals are calculated from 1 April


Offences	14 Apr	21 Apr	28 Apr	5 May	12 May	19 May	26 May	2 Jun	9 Jun	16 Jun	23 Jun	FYTD Total
Last Year	8	7	12	4	8	10	8	9	10	10	6	106
This Year	8	12	7	13	17	15	11	10	8	13	6	131
% Change	0.0%	71.4%	-41.7%	85.7%	41.7%	275.0%	37.5%	0.0%	0.0%	44.4%	-40.0%	23.6%

Domestic Violence Repeat Victims Qrt 1 Performance


Domestic Violence Repeat Victims

May 2013

BoroughName	No. of Previous Incidents						Total	Average No. of Previous Incidents, per Victim	% Victims that are Repeat Victims
	1	2	3-6	7-10	11-15	16-20			
North Total	349	134	136	21	8	2	653		27.27%
South East Total	315	152	220	31	14	5	737		26.83%
South West Total	233	53	115	29	7	1	486		22.93%
MPS	1411	663	840	139	48	16	3123	2.66	25.63%



Of the 3123 repeat victims of Domestic violence identified during May 2013, 45.2% had been a victim of crime only once other times during the previous 12 months, with a further 21.2% having been a victim two times.



In May 2013, the average number of times a Domestic Violence repeat victim, who had also been a victim of crime during the previous 12 months, increased to 2.67 compared with 2.05 in April 2013.

The percentage of total Domestic Violence victims who had been a victim of crime during the previous 12 months increased from 25.41% in April 2013 to 25.63% in May 2013.

Priority 4: Barnet Integrated Offender Management Unit


- Performance for the first 6 months and the predicted performance for the first 12 months if the current trends are maintained. This would anticipate a reduction in costs of £156,000 (based on Home Office costing guidelines) for Home Office Recordable offences.
- Pre IOM dates 12 months from 6th June 2011, first 6 months data 6th June 2012 to 5th Nov 2012

	Total Convictions Pre IOM	Total Convictions Post IOM (first 6 months)	Total Convictions Predicted for 12 Month Period	Reduction Number (percentage)
Stat Offender	259	82	164	95 (36%)
Home office Recordable offences	135	28	56	79 (58%)
Non Stat Offender	125	40	80	45 (37%)
Home Office Recordable offences	55	7	14	41 (74%)

Pre IOM dates 12 months from 6th June 2011, first 6 months data 6th June 2012 to 5th Nov 2012

The Future It is proposed that the IOM Cohort is at least doubled from its current membership to over 200 individuals, this will now include Gang Members, Sentence Expiry Date prison releases, Female offenders and those being arrested but failing drug testing in custody and then failing to access Drug Rehabilitation facilities.

Priority 4: Barnet Integrated Offender Management Reoffending Trend




FTE (first time entrants) Rate Comparison - YOT

Rate per 100,000 of the 10-17 population



PNC Data

Priority 4 Re-offending rates after 12 months




Priority 5 Broader cost-effective intervention

Troubled Families Update

Update briefing from Duncan Tessier Early Intervention and Prevention; Assistant Director Children's Service

Troubled families - Context


- **2013/14 is a critical year to analyse our success** - We have worked with over 550 families since the programme launched in 2011. 235 families have been in the programme for more than a year - so we can begin to evaluate success.



- **The programme has longevity** - Central Government further committed to the programme- as announced in Treasury spending round today:
 - investment of additional £200m to extend intensive help to 400,000 high risk families in 2015/16
- **Partnership working/community budgets will be key** - New incentives for local services such as police, health and social services to join up. Path finding approach- if we can show it work here it can be a model for other services.....

Priority 6 - Burglary overview focus on places where offences take place


Last two weeks (to 01/07/2013)
main burglary locations



EN4/EN5 - 10 offences in the last 2 weeks compared with 8 offences in the previous two weeks. Offences are concentrated around Berkley Crescent, Cranbrook Road, Lancaster Road and Longmore Avenue between late afternoon and early evening.

Emerging Hotspots
NW7 – 6 offences in the last 2 weeks (Byron Road, Birbeck Road, Daws Lane)
NW9 – 8 offences in the last two weeks. (the Grahame Park Estate.



18 burglary allegations in the last two weeks, compared to 23 in the preceding two weeks and compared to 20 in the same weeks last year. FYTD there has been a 12.4% reduction.




Non-Res burglary Summary

Last 2 weeks Hotspot Map


- Last 2 months
- Last 2 Weeks



A) High Barnet – overnight, main street High Street / High Road, shops / commercial premises. Emerging hotspot around Underhill, Dollis Valley Drive. 8 offences in the last two weeks.



A) North Finchley – overnight, main streets High Road, shops / commercial premises. offences in the last two weeks around Finchley Road and Temple Road. Emerging hotspot: along the Great North



C) Hendon NW4 – overnight peaking around 3-4am, main streets Brent Street, shops / commercial premises. Emerging hotspot: Graham Park NW9. 5 offences last two weeks

Priority 7 Tackling repeat victimisation

Target	Baseline 2010/11 (based on map-info)	2013/2014
Reduce repeat victimisation - residential burglary	6.3%	25%
Reduce repeat victimisation - ASB	10%	10%
Reduce repeat victimisation for those domestic violence cases managed by a MARAC	8%	8%

Priority 7: Tackling Domestic Violence repeat victimisation

Whilst the number of repeats is the same for 2012/13 and 2013/14 there is an increase of almost 50% of cases heard in Qtr 1 of this year.

Note: A better way of measuring repeat victimisation is the rate of detection of repeats and referral into MARAC for safeguarding as opposed to reduction in victimisation.

Qtr1	Total cases	Repeat cases	Percentage repeats
2012/13	35	6	17%
2013/14	72	6	8%

% ASB Repeat Callers By Borough Over The Last 24 Weeks By 4 Week Period

Borough	(1) Period	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	Average
	13/05/2013 - 09/06/2013	15/04/2013 - 12/05/2013	18/03/2013 - 14/04/2013	18/02/2013 - 17/03/2013	21/01/2013 - 17/02/2013	24/12/2012 - 20/01/2013	
East							
Barking & Dagenham	9%	10%	8%	9%	9%	11%	9%
Enfield	11%	10%	8%	9%	9%	11%	9%
Hackney	14%	11%	11%	11%	11%	13%	11%
Haringey	11%	12%	13%	11%	12%	10%	12%
Havering	10%	8%	12%	12%	14%	11%	11%
Newham	15%	9%	9%	9%	8%	10%	9%
Redbridge	11%	12%	11%	11%	13%	12%	12%
Tower Hamlets	16%	11%	10%	10%	11%	11%	11%
Waltham Forest	11%	15%	13%	10%	12%	12%	13%
East Total	12%	12%	12%	10%	12%	11%	11%
North							
Barnet	10%	11%	8%	9%	10%	10%	10%
Brent	11%	11%	13%	11%	11%	11%	11%
Camden	13%	13%	10%	11%	10%	13%	12%
Ealing	11%	10%	10%	11%	9%	10%	10%
Harrow	11%	9%	11%	8%	9%	10%	10%
Islington	13%	11%	11%	9%	8%	12%	11%
North Total	12%	11%	10%	10%	10%	11%	11%

Priority 8 Building reassurance and confidence


Drivers of confidence in policing: ENGAGEMENT WITH THE COMMUNITY

Q62F: To what extent do you agree that the police in this area are dealing with the things that matter to people in the community? Base: all respondents, excluding 'don't know'

Difference from previous quarter [Borough]: +1%

Difference from same quarter in 2011/12 [Borough]: +4%

% agree (strongly/tend to)	Barnet		MPS
	%	Base	
Q1-Q4 (Apr11-Mar12)	67%	368	67%
Q2-Q1 (Jul11-Jun12)	70%	375	66%
Q3-Q2 (Oct11-Sep12)	70%	383	66%
Q4-Q3 (Jan12-Dec12)	70%	382	65%
Q1-Q4 (Apr12-Mar13)	71%	383	65%
FY 08/09	65%	504	65%
FY 09/10	88%	527	64%
FY 10/11	62%	545	68%
FY 11/12	67%	368	67%
FY 12/13	71%	383	65%




Priority 8 Building reassurance and confidence

Drivers of confidence in policing: ENGAGEMENT WITH THE COMMUNITY

Q62A: To what extent do you agree that the police in this area can be relied on to be there when you need them? Base: all respondents, excluding 'don't know'

% agree (strongly/tend to)	Difference from previous quarter [Borough]: +1%		Difference from same quarter in 2011/12 [Borough]: +8%	
	%	Base	Confidence interval	MPS %
Q1-Q4 (Apr11-Mar12)	70%	380	±4.6%	72%
Q2-Q1 (Jul11-Jun12)	73%	388	±4.43%	72%
Q3-Q2 (Oct11-Sep12)	75%	393	±4.26%	72%
Q4-Q3 (Jan12-Dec12)	77%	389	±4.21%	72%
Q1-Q4 (Apr12-Mar13)	78%	390	±4.13%	72%
FY 08/09	78%	500	±3.63%	67%
FY 09/10	93%	557	±2.12%	68%
FY 10/11	80%	570	±3.28%	75%
FY 11/12	70%	380	±4.6%	72%
FY 12/13	78%	390	±4.13%	72%




Priority 8 Building reassurance and confidence

Q21: How safe do you feel walking alone in the area after dark?

Base: all respondents, excluding 'don't know', 'do not go out alone', 'do not go out at all'

% safe (very/fairly)	Difference from previous quarter [Borough]: -1%		Barnet		Difference from same quarter in 2011/12 [Borough]: -1%	
	%	Base	Confidence interval	MPS	%	
Q1-Q4 (Apr11-Mar12)	82%	336	±4.1%	78%		
Q2-Q1 (Jul11-Jun12)	85%	348	±3.78%	78%		
Q3-Q2 (Oct11-Sep12)	85%	359	±3.7%	78%		
Q4-Q3 (Jan12-Dec12)	82%	352	±3.98%	78%		
Q1-Q4 (Apr12-Mar13)	81%	350	±4.07%	79%		
FY 08/09	88%	375	±3.25%	74%		
FY 09/10	97%	426	±1.61%	74%		
FY 10/11	88%	454	±2.95%	77%		
FY 11/12	82%	336	±4.1%	78%		
FY 12/13	81%	350	±4.07%	79%		




Public Attitude Survey

Q54A: On the last occasion, how safe did you feel on the bus? Base: all respondents who had travelled on the bus the previous week, excluding 'don't know'

% safe (very/fairly)	Barnet		MPS
	%	Base	
Q1-Q4 (Apr11-Mar12)	98%	199	94%
Q2-Q1 (Jul11-Jun12)	95%	229	93%
Q3-Q2 (Oct11-Sep12)	94%	254	92%
Q4-Q3 (Jan12-Dec12)	92%	278	91%
Q1-Q4 (Apr12-Mar13)	91%	308	91%
FY 08/09	74%	135	95%
FY 09/10	93%	121	97%
FY 10/11	96%	299	97%
FY 11/12	98%	199	94%
FY 12/13	91%	308	91%

Difference from previous quarter [Borough]: -1%

Difference from same quarter in 2011/12 [Borough]: -7%




Q54E: On the last occasion, how safe did you feel in the taxi?

Base: all respondents who had travelled in a taxi the previous week, excluding 'don't know'

Difference from previous quarter [Borough]: ±0%

Difference from same quarter in 2011/12 [Borough]: +2%

% safe (very/fairly)	Barnet		MPS
	%	Base	
Q1-Q4 (Apr11-Mar12)	94%	18	97%
Q2-Q1 (Jul11-Jun12)	96%	79	94%
Q3-Q2 (Oct11-Sep12)	95%	143	94%
Q4-Q3 (Jan12-Dec12)	96%	195	93%
Q1-Q4 (Apr12-Mar13)	96%	239	93%
FY 08/09	100%	4	94%
FY 09/10	NA	0	98%
FY 10/11	99%	103	97%
FY 11/12	94%	18	97%
FY 12/13	96%	239	93%




Priority 8 Building reassurance and confidence

Public Attitude Survey

Q13: To what extent are you worried about crime in the area?
Base: all respondents, excluding 'don't know'

% worried (very/fairly)	Difference from previous quarter [Borough]: ±0%		Barnet		Difference from same quarter in 2011/12 [Borough]: ±0%	
	%	Base	Confidence interval	MPS	%	MPS
Q1-Q4 (Apr11-Mar12)	33%	398	±4.61%	35%	35%	
Q2-Q1 (Jul11-Jun12)	31%	398	±4.53%	35%	35%	
Q3-Q2 (Oct11-Sep12)	32%	398	±4.57%	35%	35%	
Q4-Q3 (Jan12-Dec12)	33%	398	±4.63%	35%	35%	
Q1-Q4 (Apr12-Mar13)	33%	398	±4.61%	34%	34%	
FY 08/09	38%	596	±3.9%	38%	38%	
FY 09/10	19%	591	±3.19%	27%	27%	
FY 10/11	25%	626	±3.4%	30%	30%	
FY 11/12	33%	398	±4.61%	35%	35%	
FY 12/13	33%	398	±4.61%	34%	34%	



This page is intentionally left blank

DOCUMENT AIMS:

1. To enable the Safer Communities partnership to record progress towards meeting the 2011-14 strategy objectives and outcomes
2. To provide a tool to support partner action-planning for the 2013/14 financial year.
3. To ensure visibility of the work going on across partner agencies to support delivery of the strategy
4. To ensure visibility of the governance arrangements in place to support delivery of the strategy and highlight gaps/ opportunities to enhance or streamline these arrangements.

NB: The focus of this document is on the key priority crime types / community safety issues (Property Crime/ ASB (Hate Crime) and Violent Crime) There are also a number of cross-cutting priorities which support delivery of the priorities and strategy - actions to support these strands should be reflected within the action plans for Priorities 1 - 3.

SAFER COMMUNITIES STRATEGY 2011-14 PRIORITIES

- Priority 1 – Property crime with special focus on burglary
- Priority 2 – Anti-Social Behaviour / Hate Crime
- Priority 3 – Violent crime with specific focus on domestic violence
- Priority 4 – Improving integrated offender management
- Priority 5 – Broader cost effective early intervention
- Priority 6 – Focus on the places where offences take place
- Priority 7 – Tackle repeat victimisation
- Priority 8 – Building Reassurance and Confidence

↑
PRIORITY ISSUES
↓

↑
CROSS-CUTTING THEMES & PRIORITY PROJECTS
↓

INSTRUCTIONS

The lead for each initiative should coordinate the input of partner agencies to define the targets and develop the action plan for each priority Completed templates should be returned to Steve Murrant at steve.murrant@barnet.gov.uk by **DATE TBC** This document should be updated every quarter inline with the Safer Communities Partnership Board.

PROPERTY CRIME, WITH A FOCUS ON BURGLARY

Strategy objectives

Disrupt organised criminal networks
 Targeted crime prevention campaigns
 Intelligence led approach to targeting burglary hotspots both covertly and by visible presence.
 Individual tailored crime prevention advice to victims and surrounding householders
 Ensuring repeat offenders are brought to justice
 Coordinating the range of powers available to agencies such as fire and environmental health to best solve the problem

SECTION 1: ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES

A) Lead agency	Barnet Police and London Borough of Barnet Community Safety Unit		
B) Strategic lead (owner)	D Supt Mark Strugnell		
C) Operational leads	DCI Gary Randall (Crime) CI Andy Mariner (Partnership) CI Simon Causer (Operations) Steve Murrant (Community Safety)		

SECTION 2: GOVERNANCE

Name of group/board	Frequency	Membership	Purpose/ Objectives
1)Burglary Review Group	Fortnightly	Police led with Community Safety attendance.	To review Burglary performance, reduction, victim care, detection and tasking.
2Acquisative Crime Sub Group	Monthly	Sub group of Partnership Board	Strategic direction of the Borough's response to acquisitive crime.
3)			

SECTION 2: TARGET OUTCOMES

Outcome description	Notes	MOPAC Target (%)	Current rolling 12 months	Previous rolling 12 months	Percentage change versus previous 12 months
Target outcomes in the Strategy/ New target outcomes	e.g. notes on how the data is recorded/ measured (e.g. Year to date / rolling 12 month average etc)	To align with MPS corporate dashboard			
1) Reduction in total crime			24590	25814	-4.70%
2) Reduction in Robbery		-5.0%	996	1124	-11.40%

3) Reduction in burglary		-6.0%	4670	4760	-1.90%		
4) Reduction in theft from motor vehicle		-5.0%	2956	3534	-16.40%		
4) Reduction in theft person		-11.9%	731	709	3.10%		

SECTION 4: ACTION PLAN (to achieve above outcomes)

*Include actions to support repeat victims
 Include actions to identify and tackle hotspots
 Include actions which support prevention and early intervention
 Actions relating to priority projects - e.g. IOM/ Troubled Families/ Crime Prevention Funding (if relevant)*

Action	Lead officer	Date	Qtr 1	Qtr 2	Qtr 3	Qtr 4
Analytical products to identify highest areas for crime for targeted patrols, crime prevention and technical assistance.	DCI Randall	on going	Predictive analysis in high crime areas. Introduction of "Nano" beats for specific roads. Operation Formidable two April -July 2013 to deal with last years peak.	Action to tackle historic low crime reports Non Residential tracker items deployed. Planning advice incorporated in LBB planning documents.	Action to tackle historic high crime levels. Operation Autumn Nights	
Victim Care Plus implementation with enhanced repeat or vulnerable victim care along with tangible crime prevention and target hardening through LBB funding stream.	CI Mariner/ Richard Bell	on going				
Short and long term operations to combat crime securing technical equipment to assist crime prevention and detection.	DCI Randall/ CI Mariner	on going		CCTV technical equipment in place by summer for entry/ exit to hot spot roads		
Increase in referrals to Troubled Families for early intervention for young offenders for all serious crime types.	DCI Randall	on going				
To complete 4 Property Roadshow in specific Hot spot areas	Richard Bell	30.3.2014				
Coordinate 4 Burglary awareness seminars to enhance preventative measures / reduction of burglary (One per quarter)	Richard Bell	30.3.2014	East Barnet Ward seminar complete			
To enhance information sharing processes within IOM, TFP and MASH programmes to reduce priorities one and seven.	Richard Bell	On going	MASH Phase 3 ISA complete.			

SECTION 5: COMMUNICATIONS PLAN (to contribute to Preventing Crime and the Fear of Crime)

ACTION PLANNING 2013/14: SAFER COMMUNITIES STRATEGY

Message	Channel (s)	Lead officer	Date	Qtr 1	Qtr 2	Qtr 3	Qtr 4
Press articles/ Twitter circulation	Internet	DCI Randall	on going				
Planned communication drives at anticipated peak times.	LB Barnet publications / Third sector.	DCI Randall	on going			Winter Burglary Campaign	Winter Burglary Campaign
Crime prevention campaigns in high volume areas with leaflets provided by LBB.	LBB leaflets	Richard Bell CI Mariner	on going		Street a week crime prevention leaflets delivered by cadets		

ANTI SOCIAL BEHAVIOUR

Strategy objectives

Take a proactive approach to issues such as graffiti, fly-tipping and fly-posting through the Council's Priority Intervention Team
 Ensure through council services that the borough is kept clean and attractive as a deterrent to ASB
 Ensure through activities such as CCTV, licensing, introduction of DPPO and Tri borough dispersal zone that street drinking and rowdy behaviour is tackled effectively
 Ensure incidents are effectively recorded and information is shared and data reviewed leading to an agreed set of measures with clear outcomes for residents
 Improve governance arrangements for bringing agencies together to co-ordinate a strategic approach to ASB
 Correctly task Police Safer Neighbourhood Teams to work in effective partnership to ensure that every report of ASB is followed up by the Police or the relevant agency, that repeat victims of ASB are identified at the earliest possible opportunity and intervene using a multi-agency problem-solving approach
 Fire Brigade contribution through arson reduction liaison, youth engagement activities and reduction of hoax calls in the Borough

SECTION 1: ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES								
A) Lead agency	Barnet Council							
B) Strategic lead (owner)	Steve Murrant and Chief Inspector Andy Mariner							
C) Operational leads	Steve Murrant, Chief Inspector Andy Mariner, Insp Adrian Needley, Insp Ray Marskell, Insp Dave Lobb and Insp Tim Barker (Barnet NPT).							
SECTION 2: GOVERNANCE								
Name of group/board	Frequency	Membership	Purpose/ Objectives	April 2013	July 2013	Oct 2013	January 2014	Mar-14
1) Grip meeting	Daily	ASB staff, and SLT from Barnet	Monitor repeat calls, frequency of calls to a particular area and ASB hotspots.					
2) Partnership ASB meeting	Monthly	ASB Staff from LBB and MPS.	Monitor repeat calls, frequency of calls to a particular area and ASB hotspots.					
3)								
SECTION 2: TARGET OUTCOMES								
Outcome description	Notes	Baseline: Strategy	April 2013	July 2013	Oct 2013	January 2014	Mar-14	
Target outcomes in the Strategy/ New target outcomes	e.g. notes on how the data is recorded/ measured (e.g. Year to date / rolling 12 month average etc)							
1) Reduction in per cent reporting the extent they are very/ fairly worried about ASB in this area		24%		20%				
2) Reduction in per cent reporting the different strands of ASB Survey as a big problem	Metropolitan Police Survey	21%						

ACTION PLANNING 2013/14: SAFER COMMUNITIES STRATEGY

3) Teenagers hanging around on the streets		21%	13%				
4) Vandalism, graffiti and other deliberate damage		19%	10%				
5) People being drunk or rowdy in a public place		9%	7%				
6) Noisy neighbours or loud parties		10%	7%				
7) Perceptions of local drug use or dealing		13%	11%				
8) Abandoned or burnt out cars		5%	3%				
9) Gangs		9%	2%				
10) Increase Hate crime reporting		5%	5%				

SECTION 4: ACTION PLAN (to achieve above outcomes)

Include actions to support repeat victims

Include actions to identify and tackle hotspots

Include actions which support prevention and early intervention

Actions relating to priority projects - e.g. IOM/ Troubled Families/ Crime Prevention Funding (if relevant)

Action	Lead officer	Date	Qtr 1	Qtr 2	Qtr	Qtr 4
Review and refresh the ASB meeting structure and governance 2013/14. Use ASB sub group to feed into Partnership Board	Steve Murrant (LBB) / Andy Mariner (MPS)	19.7.2013	New BASBAG for ASB and steering group for Neighbourhood Justice Panels			
Introduce ASB to the new MPS Airspace tasking system	Andy Mariner (MPS)	1.7.2013				
Re-energize bi-monthly ward panels to specifically identify ASB	Andy Mariner (MPS)	1.7.2013				
Add staff to Neighbourhood policing and specifically task to ASB	Andy Mariner (MPS)	19.7.2013	Neighbourhood Policing uplift 24.6.2013 from 32 PCs to 98	ASB Summer Action Plan as part of MPS Big Wing days. All wards with an ASB objective.		
Staff dedicated to ASB in the MPS Grip Centre	Andy Mariner (MPS)	19.7.2013				
Monthly Inpsps meeting to include repeat ASB as agenda item	Andy Mariner (MPS)	19.7.2013				
Use of Social Media to support reporting of ASB to Police and Partners	Steve Murrant (LBB) / Andy Mariner (MPS)	19.7.2013	Regular use of Twitter Summer ASB Action Plan	Regular use of Twitter Summer ASB Action Plan	Operation Autumn Nights	

ACTION PLANNING 2013/14: SAFER COMMUNITIES STRATEGY

Introduction of 6 month Tri borough dispersal zone in Cricklewood and enforcement / monitoring. Reports quarter 2 and 3.	Steve Murrant (LBB) / Andy Mariner (MPS)	27.5.2013	Barnet lead tri borough enforcement - Operation Stefano week commencing 23 June 2013		
Revise the Hate Crime Strategy 2013-14	Richard Bell	19.9.2013			
Complete 4 Hate Crime Awareness Seminars to address awareness and under reporting	Richard Bell	30.3.2014			
Complete 4 Hate Crime Training Seminars for the 21 Hate Crime Centres	Richard Bell	30.3.2014			
LFB to continue work with the NHW and SNTs to identify potential arson targets at local level.	Steve Leader (LFB)	On going			
Regular review of repeat calls at same post code to identify hotspots and	Mark Blomfield (LFB)	On going			
y crews to report potential sources of fuel for arson to local authority through the "Report it" mechanism.	Steve Leader (LFB)	On going			
Begin to focus attention on allotments and open land over the summer period.	Steve Leader (LFB)	On going			
Qtr 4					
Message	Channel (s)	Date	Qtr 1	Qtr 2	Qtr 3
Use of social media	e.g. leaflet/ community meetings/ website/ press release etc.	On going			Qtr 4

VIOLENT CRIME, WITH A SPECIFIC FOCUS ON DOMESTIC VIOLENCE

Strategy objectives

- Increase awareness of DV among residents and agencies through media activity
- Implement the North London Rape Crisis Centre Service
- Develop and implement effective perpetrator services
- Ensure safe and effective interventions at the earliest opportunity so survivors can continue with their lives
- Safeguard the needs of young people and vulnerable adults whose lives are affected by DV
- Deliver an effective criminal justice system to punish, deter and reduce repeat DV victimisation
- Ensure integration with the Family Intervention project 'family focus'
- Promote the use of Multi Agency Risk Assessment Conference
- Ensure effective inter agency co-ordination against all forms of violence
- Be robust in tackling problem licensed premises and in the prosecution of alcohol related violence
- Tackle violent offenders through disrupting gangs and arresting individuals causing harm.

SECTION 1: ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES

A) Lead agency	(Barnet Police / Council / Probation etc)		
B) Strategic lead (owner)	Named senior officer responsible for coordinating the input of all partner agencies and tracking progress		
C) Operational leads	NB: Lead individual(s) responsible for day to day delivery of the action plan. may be a number of different leads for different agencies		

SECTION 2: GOVERNANCE

Name of group/board	Frequency	Membership	Purpose/ Objectives
1) - Wanted Offender Meeting	Weekly	All Insp and DI in CID and NPT	To review named suspects outstanding and set actions.
2) MAPPA	Monthly	statutory partners	to manage risk of violent and sexual offenders
3) MARAC Steering Group	Quarterly	all partners	
4) MARAC	3 weekly	all partners	to manage risk for victims of DV
5) - crime review	daily	DI for VWI and DV	review overnight crimes and set plans for investigations.
6) Domestic Violence Strategy	Quarterly		

SECTION 2: TARGET OUTCOMES

Outcome description	Notes	MOPAC Target (%)	Current rolling 12 months	Previous rolling 12 months	Percentage change versus previous 12 months
Target outcomes in the Strategy/ New target outcomes	e.g. notes on how the data is recorded/ measured (e.g. Year to date / rolling 12 month average etc)	To align with MPS corporate dashboard			

1) Total violence with injury	-5.20%	1450	1481	-2.10%	
2) Increase reporting of Domestic Violence: DV violence with injury offences		539	454	18.70%	
3) Reduce repeat victimisation for those DV cases managed by MARAC	-8% 72 repeat cases	Not available		8.00%	
SECTION 4: ACTION PLAN (to achieve above outcomes)					

Include actions to support repeat victims

Include actions to identify and tackle hotspots

Include actions which support prevention and early intervention

Actions relating to priority projects - e.g. IOM/ Troubled Families/ Crime Prevention Funding (if relevant)

Action	Lead officer	Date	Qtr 1	Qtr 2	Qtr 3	Qtr 4
Increase in referrals to Troubled Families for early intervention for young offenders for all serious crime types.	DCI Gary Randall	On going				
Implement training for CSU officers in cultural awareness regarding violence within the home.	DCI Helen Williams	31/07/2013				
Raise awareness of reporting processes and confidential helplines for victims of DV	DCI Helen Williams	ongoing				
Increase MARAC referrals for risk assessing	DCI Helen Williams	ongoing				
Liase with NHS mental health to give crime prevention advice and support in reporting assaults within hospitals and mental health units.	DCI Helen Williams	ongoing				
Weekly review of violence within licensed premises and take appropriate action	DCI Helen Williams	ongoing				
To implement the VAWG Action Plan targets for Year 1	Manju Lukhman - DV Coordinator	Feb-14				
To increase the quantity of referrals into the MARAC by partner agencies	Manju Lukhman - DV Coordinator	Feb-14				
To engage with a range of agencies and do outreach work, training and information sharing with them to increase referrals to the	Manju Lukhman - DV Coordinator	Jan-14				
To ensure domestic violence homicide processes and arrangements are in line with Home Office guidance	Manju Lukhman - DV Coordinator	Mar-14				
To continue to contribute to funding arrangements for the rape and sexual violence services	Manju Lukhman - DV Coordinator	Mar-14				

ACTION PLANNING 2013/14: SAFER COMMUNITIES STRATEGY

To maintain existing service provision for domestic violence services for; the refugees, the advocacy and support service and the perpetrator service.	Manju Lukhman - DV Coordinator	Sep-13					
To fund and support the work of a specialist IDVA for the Specialist DV Court	Manju Lukhman - DV Coordinator	Mar-14					
To introduce the new 'Violence against Women and Girls Strategy and Action Plan' for 2013-2016.	Manju Lukhman - DV Coordinator	Feb-14					
Review both MARAC and MAPPA information sharing processes to ensure governance and effective referral pathways.	Richard Bell	16.9.2013					
Review the Domestic Homicide Review Information Sharing Process and ensuring relevant management reports are completed	Richard Bell	ongoing					
SECTION 5: COMMUNICATIONS PLAN (to contribute to Preventing Crime and the Fear of Crime)							
Message	Channel (s)	Lead officer	Date	Qtr 1	Qtr 2	Qtr 3	Qtr 4
Use of Social media	e.g. leaflet/ community meetings/ website/ press release etc.	DCI Helen Williams	On going				

Safer Communities: Enhancements to the Strategy.

Safer Communities Partnership Board 19.07.13

Programme level

- Governance arrangements and project teams are now in place for the four work streams (Neighbourhood Justice Panels; Community Coaches; Conditional Cautions and Enhanced Integrated Offender Management).

Neighbourhood Justice Panel work stream:

- The project team has researched national examples of best practice including learning from the Ministry of Justice test areas.
- Following a workshop for partners from the Police, Barnet Homes, the Council and Community Safety Engagement Group, the BASBAG has approved a high level model for the Neighbourhood Justice Panels
- The model includes:
 - Targeting low level crime and anti social behaviour
 - Referrals in from the Council, Barnet Homes and Police (and other agencies to be identified)
 - Recruitment and management of volunteers and referrals by a commissioned provider.
- The next steps are:
 - Engaging with potential providers for the service (soft market testing)
 - Developing a specification and initiating a procurement process.
 - Refining the definition of cases in scope.
 - Developing processes and forms with referring agencies.
 - Devising and implementing training for volunteers and referring agencies.
- The service is scheduled to go live by October 2013.

Community Coaches work stream:

- Funding for a Community Coaches programme was approved by the Council in June.
- This will build on the local model but specifically targeting those at risk of engaging in ASB and crime)
- Referral routes that are being explored include: Neighbourhood Justice Panels, Barnet Homes, Council services, Integrated Offender Management
- Procurement options are currently being considered, including the possibility to extend the existing Children's Service contract with Homestart Barnet (Community Coaching from families and vulnerable adults).
- The next steps are:
 - Refining referral routes and criteria
 - Finalising the procurement approach
- The service is scheduled to go live by October 2013.

Conditional Cautions work stream:

- Since April, Conditional Cautions will be approved by the police (rather than by the CPS)
- The project has two strands
 - Boosting police use of conditional cautions through additional training and communications.
 - Developing a new alcohol awareness condition for the police to use for suitable alcohol related offenders.
- The next steps are:
 - Developing and implementing training and communications plan within Barnet Police.
 - Engaging with alcohol providers (soft market testing)
 - Developing a specification for the awareness course element and agreeing a procurement route.
- The new condition is expected to be in place by October 2013.

IOM work stream:

- See separate IOM briefing